

## Today's discussion

Videos

Difference

Empty difference lists
Difference list example

Q: What does Prolog allow us to do (other than coding in a different way) that other languages can't? Not meaning to sound dismissive just curious of applications!

A: ...

## The Java® Virtual Machine Specification

## Java SE 11 Edition

Iff the predicate classisTypeSafe is not true, the type checker must throw the exception verifyError to indicate that the class file is malformed. Otherwise, the class file has type checked successfully and bytecode verification has completed successfully.

The rest of this section explains the process of type checking in detail:

- First, we give Prolog predicates for core Java Virtual Machine artifacts like classes and methods (§4.10.1.1).
- Second, we specify the type system known to the type checker (§4.10.1.2)
- Third, we specify the Prolog representation of instructions and stack map frames (§4.10.1.3, §4.10.1.4).
- Fourth, we specify how a method is type checked, for methods without code (§4.10.1.5) and methods with code (§4.10.1.6).
- Fifth, we discuss type checking issues common to all load and store instructions (§4.10.1.7), and also issues of access to protected members (§4.10.1.8).
- Finally, we specify the rules to type check each instruction (§4.10.1.9).

```
classIsTypeSafe(Class) :-
    classClassName(Class, Name)
    lassDefiningLoader(Class, L)
    superclassChain(Name, L, Chain),
    Chain \= [],
    classSuperClassName(Class, SuperclassName),
    loadedClass(SuperclassName, L, Superclass),
    classIsNotFinal (Superclass),
    classMethods(Class, Methods),
    checklist(methodIsTypeSafe(Class), Methods)
classIsTypeSafe(Class) :-
    classClassName(Class, 'java/lang/Object')
    classDefiningLoader(Class, L)
    isBootstrapLoader(L)
    classMethods(Class, Methods),
    checklist(methodIsTypeSafe(Class), Methods)
```

The Prolog predicate classIstypeSafe assumes that Class is a Prolog term representing a binary class that has been successfully parsed and loaded. This specification does not mandate the precise structure of this term, but does require that certain predicates be defined upon it.

For example, we assume a predicate classMethods (Class, Methods) that, given a term representing a class as described above as its first argument, binds its second argument to a list comprising all the methods of the class, represented in a convenient form described later.

Iff the predicate classIsTypeSafe is not true, the type checker must throw the exception VerifyError to indicate that the class file is malformed. Otherwise, the

Q: Attempting the towers of hanoi problem and run into stack space issues which makes me think my state representation is bad, any hints (specific and general)?

Q: Attempting the towers of hanoi problem and run into stack space issues which makes me think my state representation is bad, any hints (specific and general)?

A: if you are running out of stack space you probably have a searching-forever problem are more rules matching than you thought? General state representation hint: as little redundancy as possible.

## Difference lists

Which of these is a difference list:

1. $\operatorname{diff}(A, B)$
2. $A-B$
3. $[1,2,3 \mid A]-A$
4. $[1,2,3 \mid A]-B$
5. []-[]
6. $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}$
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## Which of these is correct?

```
upto(0,[]-[]).
upto(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1, upto(M,T-A).
upto2(0,A-A).
upto2(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1, upto2(M,T-A).
```


## What goes wrong?

```
upto(0,[]-[]).
upto(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1, upto(M,T-A).
?- upto(3,L).
A = [3, 2, 1]-[]
```


## What goes wrong?

```
upto(0,[]-[]).
upto(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1, upto(M,T-A).
?- upto(3,L).
A = [3, 2, 1]-[];
ERROR: Out of global stack
```

upto(0,[]-[]).
What goes wrong? upto(N,[N|T]-A):-M is $N-1$, upto(M,T-A).
?- trace, upto( $3, A$ ).
Call: (9) upto(3, _402) ? creep
Call: (10) upto(2, _704-_698) ? creep
Call: (11) upto(1, _722-_698) ? creep
Call: (12) upto(0, _740-_698) ? creep
Exit: (12) upto(0, []-[]) ? creep
Exit: (11) upto(1, [1]-[]) ? creep
Exit: (10) upto(2, [2, 1]-[]) ? creep
Exit: (9) upto(3, [3, 2, 1]-[]) ? creep
$A=[3,2,1]-[]$;

```
upto(0,[]-[]).
```

What goes wrong? upto(N,[N|T]-A):-M is $N-1$, upto(M,T-A).

```
A = [3, 2, 1]-[] ;
    Redo: (12) upto(0, _740-_698) ? creep
    Call: (13) upto(-1, _758-_698) ? creep
    Call: (14) upto(-2, _776-_698) ? creep
```

upto2 (0, A-A).

## upto2 is also broken

## upto2(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1,

 upto2 (M, T-A).?- trace, upto2(3,A).
Call: (9) upto2(3, _890) ? creep
Call: (10) upto2(2, _1182-_1176) ? creep
Call: (11) upto2(1, _1200-_1176) ? creep
Call: (12) upto2(0, _1218-_1176) ? creep
Exit: (12) upto2(0, _1176-_1176) ? creep
Exit: (11) upto2(1, [1|_1176]-_1176) ? creep
Exit: (10) upto2(2, [2, 1|_1176]-_1176) ? creep
Exit: (9) upto2(3, [3, 2, 1|_1176]-_1176) ? creep

$$
A=\left[3,2,1 \mid \_1176\right]-\_1176 ;
$$

## upto2 is also broken

$$
\text { upto2 ( } 0, \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{A}) .
$$

$$
\text { upto2(N, [N|T]-A) :- } M \text { is } N-1 \text {, }
$$

upto2(M,T-A).

```
A = [3, 2, 1|_1176]-_1176 ;
    Redo: (12) upto2(0, _1218-_1176) ? creep
    Call: (13) upto2(-1, _1236-_1176) ? creep
    Call: (14) upto2(-2, _1254-_1176) ? creep
    Call: (15) upto2(-3, _1272-_1176) ? creep
```


## How do we fix it?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { upto2(0,A-A). } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\text { upto2 }(N,[N \mid T]-A):- & M \text { is } N-1, \\
& \operatorname{upto2(M,T-A).}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How do we fix it?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { upto2 }(0, A-A):-\quad! \\
& \text { upto2 }(N,[N \mid T]-A):- M \text { is } N-1, \\
& \text { upto2(M,T-A). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Difference list length

Which of these is correct?

```
difflen([]-[],0).
difflen([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen(T-A,M), N is M+1.
difflen2(A-A,0).
difflen2([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen2(T-A,M), N is M+1.
```
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## Difflen is wrong

```
difflen([]-[],0).
difflen([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen(T-A,M), N is M+1.
?- difflen([1,2,3|A]-A,B).
A = [], B = 3 ;
ERROR: Out of local stack
```

Don't forget backtracking behaviour!

## Difflen/2 is also wrong (twice)

```
difflen2(A-A,0).
difflen2([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen2(T-A,M), N is M+1.
```

?- difflen2([1,2,3|A]-A,B).
$A=[1,2,3 \mid A], B=0 ;$
$A=[2,3 \mid A], B=1 ;$
$A=[3 \mid A], B=2$;
$B=3$; $A=\left[\_17791920 \mid A\right], B=4$;
$A=\left[\_17791920, \quad 17791932 \mid A\right], B=5$;

## How should I fix difflen?

```
difflen([]-[],0).
difflen([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen(T-A,M), N is M+1.
```


## How should I fix difflen?

```
difflen([]-[],0) :- !.
difflen([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen(T-A,M), N is M+1.
```


## What's the difference-list difference?

```
upto2(0,A-A) :- !.
upto2(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1, upto2(M,T-A).
difflen([]-[],0) :- !.
difflen([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen(T-A,M), N is M+1.
```


## What's the difference-list difference?

```
upto2(0,A-A) :- !. generating an
upto2(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1, upto2(M,T-A). empty list
```

```
difflen([]-[],0) :- !. testing for
difflen([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen(T-A,M), N is M+1.
testing for an empty list
```


## What's the difference-list difference?

```
upto2(0,A-A) :- !. generating an
upto2(N,[N|T]-A) :- M is N-1, upto2(M,T-A). empty list
```

```
difflen([]-[],0) :- !. testing for
difflen([_|T]-A,N) :- difflen(T-A,M), N is M+1.
an empty
list
```


## Challenge: Implement Quicksort

Partition the list into two pieces

Quicksort each half
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## Implement Quicksort

```
% partition(Pivot,List,Left,Right) succeeds if Left is all the
% elements in List less than or equal to the pivot and Right is
% all the elements greater than the pivot
% quicksort(L1,L2) succeeds if L2 contains the elements in L1 in
% ascending order
```


## Implement partition

\% partition(Pivot, List,Left,Right) succeeds if Left is all the \% elements in List less than or equal to the pivot and Right is \% all the elements greater than the pivot

```
partition(_,[],[],[]).
partition(P,[H|T],[H|L],R) :- P <= H, partition(P,T,L,R).
partition(P,[H|T],L,[H|R]) :- P > H, partition(P,T,L,R).
```


## Implement quicksort

```
partition(_,[],[],[]).
partition(P,[H|T],[P|L],R) :- P <= H, partition(P,T,L,R).
partition(P,[H|T],L,[P|R]) :- P > H, partition(P,T,L,R).
quicksort([],[]).
quicksort([P|T],Sorted) :-
    partition(P,T,L,R),
    quicksort(L,L1), quicksort(R,R1),
    append(L1,R1,Sorted).
```


## Is it useful to turn this into difference lists?

```
partition(_,[],[],[]).
partition(P,[H|T],[P|L],R) :- P <= H, partition(P,T,L,R).
partition(P,[H|T],L,[P|R]) :- P > H, partition(P,T,L,R).
quicksort([],[]).
quicksort([P|T],Sorted) :-
    partition(P,T,L,R),
    quicksort(L,L1), quicksort(R,R1),
    append(L1,[P|R1],Sorted).
```
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# Next time 

Videos

Sudoku

Constraints

Ask questions at www.slido.com with event code E508

